

## Report

# CURRENT & POTENTIAL APPLICATIONS OF BIOELECTROMAGNETICS IN MEDICINE

Michael Levin

### ABSTRACT

There has been increasing concern about the harmful effects of exposure to ambient electromagnetic fields. However, electromagnetic energy is not a general toxin but a specific modality for transferring information to and from biosystems. Thus, there is great potential for beneficial uses of bioelectromagnetics. This paper speculates on several such possibilities.

**KEYWORDS:** Bioelectromagnetics, electromagnetic fields, therapeutic applications

## INTRODUCTION

Several epidemiological studies suggest links between exposure to electromagnetic fields and various harmful effects.<sup>1-6</sup> The plausibility of such a causal link is underscored by experimental data showing that such fields can affect a wide range of biological processes, including growth and development.<sup>7-14</sup>

However, it is also reasonably clear that the current focus on deleterious effects is a product of our poor current understanding of the mechanisms of bioelectromagnetic interactions (and in many cases, of the normal workings of the system being affected). After all, if one doesn't have a good understanding of how a complex system works, any energetic perturbations (such as applied EM fields) are much more likely to adversely affect function than to be helpful. There is nothing inherently destructive in electromagnetic energy; it can be a medium for delivering signals (with a wide variety of effects) to biosystems. With this in mind, I would like to provide a brief perspective on current and future applications of bioelectromagnetics in medicine. An overview of several specific beneficial applications appears in reference fifteen.<sup>15</sup> Needless to say, many of the future applications described below are speculative at this point.

## CURRENT APPLICATIONS

A passive technique (detection of endogenous magnetic fields) is in use for diagnostic purposes.<sup>16-18</sup> It is possible to get valuable information about the functioning of various organs by analysis of the magnetic fields which they emit (these are extremely weak fields and are detected by SQUID devices).

The only active application of bioelectromagnetics in therapeutic use right now (that I am aware of) is ELF magnetic field treatment for bone non-unions.<sup>19-21</sup> Basically, the rate of bone growth and increase of mechanical integrity of knitted fractures can be accelerated non-invasively by placing a coil around the area, and creating a milli-Tesla AC or square-wave magnetic field by putting current through the coil. A portable version of this device, most often used for fractures that are not healing by themselves, is used in hospitals right now. There are also cases of applied electric fields being used to enhance wound

healing.<sup>22</sup> Applications are like-wise being developed for treatment of ligament damage, osteoporosis, chronic skin ulcers, and tendinitis.<sup>15</sup>

## NEAR-FUTURE APPLICATIONS

It is likely that the next advances in therapeutic uses for EM fields will be in the areas of regeneration and mitotic control. Applied electric fields have been shown to enhance nerve regeneration in mammals and other organisms.<sup>23-29</sup> Stimulated neurite outgrowth is likely to be responsible for this effect.<sup>30</sup> These studies have obvious potential for use in medicine, since nerve damage can have grave consequences.

An even more exciting application involves whole limb regeneration. It has been hypothesized that limb regeneration (in normally regenerating species) is causally influenced by an endogenous electric field.<sup>31</sup> It has also been shown that applied fields of the type found *in vivo* can accelerate regeneration in such species, and more interestingly, effect regeneration in normally non-regenerating animals such as adult mammals.<sup>32-37</sup> Since human children exhibit fingertip regeneration,<sup>38,39</sup> a further study of the natural fields present at regeneration<sup>40,41</sup> and a better molecular understanding of regeneration in other species may culminate in the ability to produce limb regeneration for limbs lost to trauma or surgical amputation.

**A**nother potential near-future application involves mitotic control of tissues and cells in culture. It has been shown that applied ELF magnetic fields can enhance cell proliferation and accelerate the rate of cell division in tissue culture cells and embryos.<sup>42-49</sup> This has potential applications for accelerating the growth rates of valuable cell cultures (such as skin for skin grafts, and other tissues used for transplantation).

## DISTANT-FUTURE APPLICATIONS

More remote, and necessarily more speculative, applications of bioelectromagnetics suggest themselves when one studies the literature on endogenous fields

within organisms, and on the relationship of the biosphere with the geomagnetic and geoelectric fields.

There are a wide range of electric fields present within organisms.<sup>50-54</sup> There are also a variety of magnetic fields,<sup>16-18,55,56</sup> electromagnetic waves,<sup>57,58</sup> and ultra-weak photons being emitted by cells, tissues, and organisms.<sup>59,60</sup> These are hypothesized to be mediators of inter- and intra-cellular information.<sup>61-65</sup> An understanding of the role of these endogenous fields is likely to have great pay-offs in our ability to obtain information from, and to affect, living systems in beneficial ways.

**O**ther advances look promising in the area of cancer research. It appears likely that malignant tissue has electromagnetic characteristics which are different from those of normal tissues.<sup>66-72</sup> Malignancy is often correlated with changes in the endogenous electric fields of the organism.<sup>67,73,74</sup> Tumor tissue also appears to differ in its response to applied fields.<sup>75</sup> All of this offers potential uses in early and minimally-invasive diagnosis of malignancy.

Likewise, data suggesting that applied fields can preferentially affect malignant tissue,<sup>70,76-81</sup> and studies showing that applied field effects can prolong the lives of animals with cancer make plausible the possibility of cancer treatments based on bioelectromagnetics.<sup>82-88</sup>

There has been some evidence that applied magnetic fields can ameliorate the harmful effects of ionizing radiation.<sup>89-92</sup> This offers possibilities for magnetic radiation trauma treatment. This will have to wait for a good understanding of the effects, since other studies find increased damage caused by pulsed magnetic field application after exposure of mice to X-rays.<sup>93</sup>

Finally, there are several studies which point to an interaction between living systems and the electromagnetic fields and radiations of the earth and atmosphere. For example, natural alterations in the geo-magnetic field are correlated with patient behavior in psychiatric wards<sup>94</sup> and to various psychological disturbances.<sup>95</sup> Rajaram and Mitra find that convulsive seizures are correlated with geomagnetic activity, modified by solar activity.<sup>96</sup> Persinger finds a correlation between geomagnetic activity and incidence of bereavement

hallucinations.<sup>97</sup> An understanding of the effects' mechanisms is likely to result in applications if these fields turn out to be important ecological parameters.

• • •

**CORRESPONDENCE:** Michael Levin • Genetics Department • Harvard Medical School  
• 200 Longwood Ave. • Boston, MA 02115 or 43 Worcester Ave. Swampscott, MA 01907

## REFERENCES AND NOTES

1. S. Nordström, E. Birke & L. Gustavsson, Reproductive Hazards Among Workers at High Voltage Substations, *Bioelectromagnetics* 4 (1983), pp. 91-101.
2. S. Nordström, I. Nordenson & K. Hansson-Mild, Genetic and Reproductive Hazards in High-Voltage Substations, In (L. E. Anderson, B. Kelman & R. Weigel, Eds., Interaction of Biological Systems with Static and ELF Electric and Magnetic Fields, *23rd Hanford Life Sciences Symposium*, 1987).
3. N. Wertheimer & E. Leeper, Possible Effects of Electric Blankets and Heated Waterbeds on Fetal Development, *Bioelectromagnetics* 7 (1986), pp. 13-22.
4. Harry D. Brown & S. K. Chattopadhyay, Electromagnetic Field Exposure and Cancer, *Cancer Biochemistry and Biophysics* 9 (1988), pp. 295-342.
5. EPA, Evaluation of the Potential Carcinogenicity of Electromagnetic Fields (United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, Washington, DC, 1990).
6. G. Thériault, Cancer Risks Due to Exposure to Electromagnetic Fields, *Recent Results in Cancer Research* 120 (1990), pp. 166-180.
7. R. Goodman, L-X Wei, J-C Xu & Ann Henderson, Exposure of Human Cells to Low-frequency Electromagnetic Fields Results in Quantitative Changes, In transcripts, *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* 1009 (1989), pp. 216-220.
8. W. C. Levegood, Morphogenesis as Influenced by Locally Administered Magnetic Fields, *Biophysical Journal* 7 (1967), pp. 297-307.
9. M. T. Tsoneva, P. R. Penchev, G. B. Karev & S. S. Gishin, Effect of Magnetic Fields on the Chromosome Set and Cell Division, *Genetika* 11, 3 (1975), pp. 153-157.
10. A. M. Khalil & W. Qassem, Cytogenetic Effects of Pulsing Electromagnetic Field on Human Lymphocytes *In vitro*: Chromosome Aberrations, *Mutation Research* 247 (1991), pp. 141-146.
11. W. J. Stith, J. O. Rundell & J. H. Erickson, Pulsing Electromagnetic Fields (PEMFs) Stimulate Population Growth in L5178Y and CHO-WB1 Cells, In *BRAGS* 5 (1985).
12. G. Ceccherelli, G. Torelli, G. Emilia & R. Cadossi, Modulation of Cell-cycle Related Genes by Low Frequency Pulsing Electromagnetic Fields, In *BRAGS* 7 (1987).
13. Jose M. Delgado, J. L. Monteagudo, M. Gracia, & J. Leal, Teratogenic Effects of Weak Magnetic Fields, *IRCS Medical Science* 9 (1981), p. 392.
14. Jose M. Delgado, J. Leal, J. Monteagudo & M. Gracia, Embryological Changes Induced by Weak, Extremely Low Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, *Journal of Anatomy* 134, 4 (1982), pp. 533-551.
15. C. A. L. Bassett, Beneficial Effects of Electromagnetic Fields, *Journal of Cellular Biochemistry* 51 (1993), pp. 387-393.

16. S. N. Erne, H. Hahlbohm & H. Lubbig, Eds., *Biomagnetism* (Walter De Gruyter, NY, 1981).
17. S. J. Williamson, L. Kaufman & Ivo Modena, Eds., *Biomagnetism* (Plenum Press, NY, 1983).
18. Susumu Sato, Magnetoencephalography, *Advances in Neurology* 54 (1990), pp. 1-284.
19. P. Christel, G. Cerf & Arthur A. Pilla, Modulation of Rat Radial Osteotomy Repair Using Electromagnetic Current Induction, In (R. Becker, 1979). *incomplete reference*.
20. A. T. Barker, R. A. Dixon, W. J. W. Sharrard & M. L. Sutcliffe, Pulsed Magnetic Field Therapy for Tibial Non-union, *Lancet* 8384 (1984), pp. 993-996.
21. Bioelectrical Repair and Growth Society (BRAGS), Transactions of the Annual Meetings, 1-10 (1982-1992).
22. Patrick J. Carley & Stanley F. Wainapel, Electrotherapy for Acceleration of Wound Healing: Low Intensity Direct Current, *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 66, 7 (1985), pp. 443-446.
23. Richard B. Borgens, E. Roeder & M.J. Cohen, Enhanced Spinal Cord Regeneration in the Lamprey by Applied Electric Fields, *Science* 213 (1981), pp. 611-617.
24. Bruce Pomeranz, Effects of Applied DC Fields on Sensory Nerve Sprouting and Motor-Nerve Regenerating in Adult Rats, In (Richard Nuccitelli, Ed., *Ionic Currents in Development*, Alan R. Liss, New York, NY, 1986).
25. Bruce Pomeranz, Weak DC Electric Fields Enhance Motor Nerve Regeneration and Sensory Nerve Sprouting in Adult Rats, *BRAGS* 7 (1987).
26. Richard B. Borgens, Andrew R. Blight & M. E. McGinnis, Behavioral Recovery Induced by Applied Electric Fields After Spinal Cord Hemisection in Guinea Pig, *Science* 238 (1987), pp. 366-369.
27. L. McDevitt, P. Fortner & B. Pomeranz, Application of Weak Electric Field to the Hindpaw Enhances Sciatic Motor Nerve Regeneration in the Adult Rat, *Brain Research* 416 (1987), pp. 308-314.
28. Ana Rusovan & Martin Kanje, Stimulation of Regeneration of the Rat Sciatic Nerve by 50 Hz Sinusoidal Magnetic Fields, *Experimental Neurology* 112 (1991), pp. 312-316.
29. James M. Kerns & Claudia Lucchinetti, Electrical Field Effects on Crushed Nerve Regeneration, *Experimental Neurology* 117 (1992), pp. 71-80.
30. B. F. Sisken, J. Walker & M. Orgel, Prospects on Clinical Applications of Electrical Stimulation for Nerve Regeneration, *Journal of Cellular Biochemistry* 52 (1993), pp. 404-409.
31. Richard B. Borgens, K. R. Robinson, Joseph W. Venable & Michael E. McGinnis, *Electric Fields in Vertebrate Repair* (Alan R. Liss, NY, 1989).
32. Stephen D. Smith, Induction of Partial Limb Regeneration in Rana Pipiens by Galvanic Stimulation, *Anatomical Record* 158 (1967), pp. 89-97.
33. Stephen D. Smith, Effects of Electrode Placement on Stimulation of Adult Frog Limb Regeneration, *Annals of the New York Academy of Science* 238 (1974), pp. 500-507.
34. Robert O. Becker & J. A. Sparado, Electrical Stimulation of Partial Limb Regeneration in Mammals, *Bulletin of the New York Academy of Medicine* 48 (1972), pp. 627-641.
35. Robert O. Becker, Stimulation of Partial Limb Regeneration in Rats, *Nature* 235 (1972), pp. 109-111.
36. Richard B. Borgens, Joseph W. Venable Jr. & Lionel F. Jaffe, Small Artificial Currents Enhance Xenopus Limb Regeneration, *Journal of Experimental Zoology* 207 (1979), pp. 217-226.
37. Richard B. Borgens, The Role of Natural and Applied Electric Fields in Neuronal Regeneration and Development, In (Richard Nuccitelli, Ed., *Ionic Currents in Development*, Alan R. Liss, New York, NY, 1986).

38. C. M. Illingworth, Trapped Fingers and Amputated Finger Tips in Children, *Journal of Pediatric Surgery* 9, 6 (1974), pp. 853-58.
39. Richard B. Borgens, What is the Role of Naturally Produced Electric Current in Vertebrate Regeneration and Healing? *International Review of Cytology* 76 (1982), pp. 245-298.
40. C. M. Illingworth & A. T. Barker, Measurement of Electrical Currents Emerging During the Regeneration of Amputated Fingertips in Children, *Clinical Physics and Physiological Measures* 1 (1980), pp. 87-89.
41. Richard B. Borgens, M. E. McGinnis, Joseph W. Vanable Jr. & E. Miles, Stump Currents in Regenerating Salamanders and Newts, *Journal of Experimental Zoology* 231 (1984), pp. 249-256.
42. M. Levin & S. Ernst, AC Magnetic Field Effects on the Development of Sea Urchin Embryos, *Bioelectromagnetics* (1994) In Press.
43. S. Saha, A. Pal, J. A. Albright & R. P. Misra, Accelerated Growth of Chick Embryo Stimulated by a Pulsing Electromagnetic Field, *BRAGS* 1 (1981).
44. S. Saha, A. Pal, G. N. Reddy & J. A. Albright, Growth of Chick Embryo Modulated by Pulsed Electromagnetic Stimulations, *BRAGS* 2 (1982).
45. M. Rooze, M. Hinsenkamp & J. Duchateau, *In vivo* Skeletal Modifications of Chicken Embryos Induced by Electromagnetic Fields, *BRAGS* 2 (1982).
46. I. Kaneko, K. Takahashi, M. Date, T. Takamatsu, H. Sasabe & E. Fukuda, Optimal Condition of Pulsed Electromagnetic Fields for the Enhancement of Cell Growth in Culture, *BRAGS* 4 (1984).
47. T. Akamine, T. Sakou, T. Muramatsu, S. Ogata & H. Muramatsu, Effects of Pulsed Electromagnetic Fields on Growth and Differentiation of Embryonal Carcinoma Cells, *BRAGS* 4 (1984).
48. C. Falugi, M. Grattarola & G. Prestipino, Effects of Low-intensity Pulsed EM Fields on the Early Development of Sea Urchins, *Biophysical Journal* 51 (1987), pp. 999-1003.
49. Yolanda Leon, Carmen Valero & Cristina Miner, Low Frequency Electromagnetic Fields Inhibit Cell Proliferation in Chick Embryo Cochleovestibular Ganglion, First World Congress on Electric and Magnetic Fields in Biology and Medicine (1992).
50. Clyde Marshall & Ralph G. Meader, Studies on the Electrical Potentials of Living Organisms, *Yale Journal of Biology and Medicine* 10 (1937), pp. 65-78.
51. Robert O. Becker, The Basic Biological Data Transmission and Control System Influenced by Electrical Forces, *Annals of NY Academy of Science* 238 (1974), pp. 236-241.
52. Kenneth R. Robinson, Endogenous Electrical Current Leaves the Limb and Prelimb Region of the Xenopus Embryo, *Developmental Biology* 97 (1983), pp. 203-211.
53. Richard Nuccitelli, The Involvement of Transcellular Ion Currents and Electric Fields in Pattern Formation, In (George M. Malacinski & Susan V. Bryant Eds., *Pattern Formation*, Macmillan Publishing Co., NY, 1984).
54. Richard Nuccitelli & Lynn M. Wiley, Polarity of Isolated Blastomeres from Mouse Morulae: Detection of Transcellular ion Currents, *Developmental Biology* 109 (1985), pp. 452-463.
55. Herbert A. Pohl, Natural RF Electrical Oscillations from Growing Cells, *BRAGS* 1 (1981).
56. Herbert A. Pohl, Natural AC Electric Fields In and About Cells, In (W. Ross Adey & Albert F. Lawrence, Eds., *Nonlinear Electrodynamics in Biological Systems*, Plenum Press, NY, 1984).

57. H. Frolich, Coherent Processes in Biological Systems, In (K. H. Illinger, Ed., *Biological Effects of Non-ionizing Radiation*, ACS Symposium 157, Washington DC, 1981).
58. H. Frolich & F. Kremer, Eds., *Coherent Excitations in Biological Systems* (Springer-Verlag, NY, 1983).
59. W. B. Chwirrot, New Indication of Possible Role of DNA in Ultraweak Photon Emission from Biological Systems, *Journal of Plant Physiology* 122 (1986), pp. 81-86.
60. B. Schauf, L. M. Repas & R. Kaufmann, Localization of Ultraweak Photon Emission in Plants, *Photochemistry and Photobiology* 55, 2 (1992), pp. 287-291.
61. M. Galle, R. Neurohr, G. Altmann, F. A. Popp & W. Nagl, Biophoton Emission from *Daphnia Magna*: a Possible Factor in the Self-regulation of Swarming, *Experientia* 47 (1991), pp. 457-460.
62. Fritz A. Popp & W. Nagl, A Physical Electromagnetic Model of Differentiation 1: Basic Considerations, *Cytobios* 37 (1983a), pp. 45-62.
63. Fritz A. Popp & W. Nagl, A Physical Electromagnetic Model of Differentiation 2: Application and Examples, *Cytobios* 37 (1983b), pp. 71-83.
64. W. Nagl, Concluding Remarks on Biophotons, *Experientia* 44 (1988), pp. 599-560.
65. F. A. Popp, *Recent Advances in Biophoton Research* (Worls,1983).
66. H. S. Burr & C. T. Lane, Electrical Characteristics of Living Systems, *Yale Journal of Biology and Medicine* 8 (1935), pp. 31-35.
67. H. S. Burr, Electrometrics of Atypical Growth, *Yale Journal of Biology and Medicine* 25 (1952), pp. 67-75.
68. V. S. Pyatenko & B. N. Tarusov, Cathode Luminescence of Normal and Cancer Cells, *Biofizika* 9, 1 (1964), pp. 134-135.
69. J. R. Mallard & M. Kent, Electron Spin Resonance in Surviving Rat Tissues, *Nature* 210 (1966), pp. 588-591.
70. S. Kim Young, Some Possible Effects of Static Magnetic Fields on Cancer, *Tower International Technomedical Institute Journal of Life Sciences* 6 (1976), pp. 11-28.
71. F. Grasso, C. Grillo, F. Musumeci, *et al.*, Photon Emission from Normaland Tumor Brain Tissues, *Experientia* 48 (1992), pp. 10-12.
72. W. Scholz, U. Staszkiwics, F. A. Popp & W. Nagl, Light-stimulated Ultraweak Photon Reemission of Human Amnion Cells and Wish Cells, *Cell Biophysics* 13, (1988), pp. 55-63.
73. H. S. Burr, L. C. Strong & G. M. Smith, Bioelectric Properties of Cancer-resistant and Cancer Susceptible Mice, *American Journal of Cancer* 32 (1938), pp. 240-248.
74. H. S. Burr, Changes in the Field Properties of Mice with Transplanted Tumors, *Yale Journal of Biology and Medicine* 13 (1941), pp. 783-788.
75. A. R. Liboff & W. D. Winters, Relative Response to ELF Magnetic Fields in Malignant and Normal Cell Cultures, *BRAGS* 8 (1988).
76. A. Gosset, A. Gutmann, G. Lakhovsky & J. Magrou, Essais de therapeutique du cancer experimental des plantes, *Comptes Rendus de la Societe de Biologie* 91 (1924), pp. 626-628.
77. M. F. Barnothy, Ed., *Biological Effects of Magnetic Fields* 2 (Plenum Press, NY, 1969).
78. A. S. Presman, *Electromagnetic Fields and Life* (Plenum Press, NY, 1970).
79. A. R. Sheppard & Merril Eisenbud, *Biological Effects of Electric and Magnetic Fields of Extremely Low Frequency* (New York University Press, NY, 1977).
80. H. L. Konig, Albert P. Krueger, S. Lang & W. Sönning, *Biologic Effects of Environmental Electromagnetism* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1981).
81. D. B. Jones, R. B. Pedly & J. T. Ryaby, The Effects of Pulsating Electromagnetic Fields on Differentiation and Growth in Cloudman S91 Murine Melanoma Cells *In Vitro*, *Journal of Bioelectricity* 5 (1986), pp. 145-170.



82. Carroll E. Humphrey & E. H. Seal, Biophysical Approach Toward Tumor Regression in Mice, *Science* **130** (1959), pp. 388-389.
83. L. Indumati, Mulay & Mulay, Effects of a Magnetic Field on Sarcoma 37 Ascites Tumor Cells, *Nature* **190** (1965), p. 1019.
84. Yu. A. Kholodov, Ed., *Influence of Magnetic Fields on Biological Objects* (JPRS #63038, National Technical Information Service, U. S. Department of Commerce, 1971).
85. Yu. A. Kholodov, *Magnetism in Biology* (JPRS #60737, National Technical Information Service, U. S. Department of Commerce, 1973).
86. Muriel K. Schauble, M. B. Habal & H. D. Gullick, Inhibition of experimental tumor Growth in Hamsters by Small Direct Currents, *Archives of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine* **101** (1977), pp. 294-297.
87. L. A. Norton, A. A. Pilla, S. Geller & L. Tansman, PEMF Induced Currents and Anti-Cancer Chemo-immunotherapy, *BRAGS* **3** (1983).
88. A. Bellossi & A. Desplaces, Effect of a 9 mT Pulsed Magnetic Field on C3H/Bi Female Mice with Mammary Carcinoma, *In Vivo* **5** (1991), pp. 39-40.
89. M. F. Barnothy, Reduction of Radiation Mortality through Magnetic Pre-treatment, *Nature* **200** (1963), pp. 279-280.
90. Nabil M. Amer & Cornelius A. Tobias, Analysis of the Combined Effect of Magnetic Fields, Temperature, and Radiation on Development, *Radiation Research* **25** (1965), 172a.
91. Jose M. Feola & Stephen D. Smith, Interaction of Pulsing Magnetic Fields and Whole-Body Gamma Irradiation in Mice, *BRAGS* **4** (1984).
92. A. Chiabrera, C. Nicolini & H. P. Schwab, Eds., *Interactions Between Electromagnetic Fields and Cell* (Plenum Press, New York, NY, 1984).
93. R. Cadossi, V. R. Hentz & J. Kipp, Effect of Low-frequency Low Energy Pulsing Electromagnetic Field (PEMF) on X-ray Irradiated Mice, *Experimental Hematology* **17** (1989), pp. 88-95.
94. Howard Friedman, Robert O. Becker & Charles H. Bachman, Psychiatric Ward Behavior and Geophysical Parameters, *Nature* **205** (1965), pp. 1050-1052.
95. Michael A. Persinger, Geopsychology and Geopsychopathology: Mental Processes and Disorders Associated with Geochemical and Geophysical Factors, *Experientia* **43** (1987), pp. 92-103.
96. Mita Rajaram & S. Mitra, Correlation Between Convulsive Seizure and Geomagnetic Activity, *Neuroscience letters* **24** (1981), pp. 187-191.
97. Michael A. Persinger, Increased Geomagnetic Activity and the Occurrence of Bereavement Hallucinations, *Neuroscience letters* **88** (1988), pp. 271-274.